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#### Abstract

We propose a numerical factorization of the propagation operator in a privileged direction for linearized Navier-Stokes equations. From the latter, One-Way models such as the True Amplitude formalism and the Bremmer series can be derived.
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## 1 Introduction

The One-Way (OW) approach is an effective tool to compute wave propagation in a direction of interest. For example, such a method could be used to study the laminar-turbulent transition zone in boundary layers. However, the construction of this type of method in this context remains a challenge due to complex operators involved.

Towne \& Colonius [1] have overcome this difficulty for slowly varying flows by proposing a purely numerical OW method based on a nonreflecting boundary condition applied to the Euler and Navier-Stokes equations.

We extend these works by proposing a new factorization of the propagation operator which allows a sorting of the modes according to their direction and accessing to a refraction/reflection operator. We can then derive OW models with broader validity, such as True Amplitude OW (TAOW) or Bremmer series.

## 2 Linearized Navier-Stokes equations

We are interested in computing with a low numerical cost the time-harmonic wave propagation in a complex flow, and considering a preferred direction: the $x$-axis in this presentation. To do so, we start with a 2D linearized model of the Navier-Stokes equations around a mean flow where the unknown fluctuation vector is $\widetilde{\mathbf{q}}=(\widetilde{\nu}, \widetilde{u}, \widetilde{v}, \widetilde{p})^{T}$ with $\widetilde{\nu},(\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{v})$ and $\widetilde{p}$ being the specific volume, velocity and pressure perturbations, respectively. Using standard hypothesis in wave propagation, the second derivatives in
$x$ are neglected. We next process as in [1] by isolating the term $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ and by performing a first discretization in the transverse direction $y$ (a compact high-order finite difference scheme in our case). So, we get the following matrix ODE in $x$-variable:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{A} \frac{d \widetilde{\mathbf{q}}}{d x}=\underbrace{\left(i \omega \mathbf{I}-\mathbf{B}_{y} \mathbf{D}_{y}-\mathbf{B}_{y y} \mathbf{D}_{y y}-\mathbf{C}\right)}_{\mathbf{B}} \widetilde{\mathbf{q}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\mathbf{D}_{y}$ and $\mathbf{D}_{y y}$ the first and second order discrete derivative operators in $y$-variable. All the matrices are of size $\left(4 N_{y}\right) \times\left(4 N_{y}\right)$ with $N_{y}$ the number of discretization points in the transverse direction $y$. The matrix $\mathbf{A}$ is assumed to be diagonalizable, i.e. $\mathbf{A}=\mathbf{T} \widetilde{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{T}^{-1}$ and invertible. If it contains singularities, they can be treated by extraction.

The equation (1) can be rewritten in terms of characteristic variables $\phi=\mathbf{T}^{-1} \widetilde{\mathbf{q}}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \phi}{d x}=\mathbf{M}(x) \phi \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the propagation operator $\mathbf{M}$ is defined by:

$$
\mathbf{M}=\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{-1} \mathbf{T}^{-1} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{T}-\mathbf{T}^{-1} \frac{d \mathbf{T}}{d x}
$$

## 3 New factorization of M

The construction of OW methods to solve (2) requires identifying the left and right-going modes contained in the propagation operator. A natural way is to perform a diagonalization of $\mathbf{M}$ and to analyze the behavior of the eigenvalues to find the different sets of modes (Briggs' criterion). Unfortunately, this approach is generally costly in terms of computational resources, especially because $\mathbf{M}$ is $x$-dependent. To bypass this problem, we propose to construct a new decomposition of $\mathbf{M}$ based on the concept of high-order non-reflecting boundary conditions. The starting point is this remark: a strict diagonalization of $\mathbf{M}$ is not needed to realize a OW decoupling.

More precisely, by using this type of matrices

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{Z}^{r, N} & :=\prod_{j=0}^{N-1}\left(\mathbf{M}-i \beta_{-}^{j} \mathbf{I}\right)^{-1}\left(\mathbf{M}-i \beta_{+}^{j} \mathbf{I}\right) \\
\mathbf{Z}^{l, N} & :=\prod_{j=0}^{N-1}\left(\mathbf{M}-i \beta_{+}^{j} \mathbf{I}\right)^{-1}\left(\mathbf{M}-i \beta_{-}^{j} \mathbf{I}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\left(\beta_{+}^{j}, \beta_{-}^{j}\right)_{j=0, \ldots, N-1}$ a set of parameters, the following theorem can be proven.

Theorem 1 Let VDU be a diagonalization of $\mathbf{M}$ where $\mathbf{D}$ contains the $N_{ \pm}$eigenvalues $i \alpha_{ \pm}$ corresponding to the $\pm x$ direction. The parameters $\left(\beta_{ \pm}^{j}\right)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfy the condition $(C)$ :
$\lim _{N \rightarrow+\infty} R^{N}\left(\alpha_{+}\right)=0$ and $\lim _{N \rightarrow+\infty} R^{N}\left(\alpha_{-}\right)=+\infty$
with $R^{N}(\alpha):=\prod_{j=0}^{N-1}\left|\frac{\alpha-\beta_{+}^{j}}{\alpha-\beta_{-}^{j}}\right|$.
We introduce the matrix

$$
\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^{N}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{I}_{++} & \\
\left(\mathbf{Z}_{++}^{l, N}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{Z}_{+-}^{l, N} \\
\left(\mathbf{Z}_{--}^{r, N}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{Z}_{-+}^{r, N} & \mathbf{I}_{--}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where the subscripts denote the number of rows and columns ( $N_{+}$or $N_{-}$) of each block.

The following decoupling result holds: for $\widetilde{\mathbf{D}}^{N}:=\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^{N} \mathbf{M}\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^{N}\right)^{-1}$, let $\widetilde{\mathbf{D}}:=\lim _{N \rightarrow+\infty} \widetilde{\mathbf{D}}^{N}$,

$$
\widetilde{\mathbf{D}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{U}_{++}^{-1} \mathbf{D}_{++} \mathbf{U}_{++} & \mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{0} & \mathbf{U}_{--}^{-1} \mathbf{D}_{--} \mathbf{U}_{--}
\end{array}\right)
$$

This theorem implies that by choosing a finite number of parameters $\beta_{ \pm}^{j}$, we can construct

$$
\widetilde{\mathbf{D}}^{N}=\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^{N} \mathbf{M}\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^{N}\right)^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\widetilde{\mathbf{D}}_{++}^{N} & \varepsilon  \tag{3}\\
\varepsilon & \widetilde{\mathbf{D}}_{--}^{N}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $\widetilde{\mathbf{D}}_{++}^{N}$ and $\widetilde{\mathbf{D}}_{--}^{N}$ are two block matrices containing the information about the rightgoing and left-going eigenvalues of $\mathbf{M}$, respectively and $\varepsilon$ represents the residuals of the approximations, which will be neglected.

This choice is guided by (C). It is easy to find such kind of family, for example, $\beta_{ \pm}^{j-1}= \pm(j+$ $i j)$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ but the convergence of the method can be greatly improved by using parameters nearer to the spectrum of $\mathbf{M}$. In practice, we use some a priori information on the spectrum of $\mathbf{M}$ such as the branch position of evanescent modes, the range of the convective modes branch etc., which are deduced from analytical results for
simple mean flows [1] or from a numerical local stability analysis (see example below).

By using the factorization (3), the equation (2) can be written in terms of OW variables $\boldsymbol{\psi}=$ $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^{N} \boldsymbol{\phi}$ :

$$
\frac{d \boldsymbol{\psi}}{d x}=\widetilde{\mathbf{D}}^{N} \boldsymbol{\psi}+\mathbf{W}^{N} \boldsymbol{\psi}
$$

with $\mathbf{W}^{N}:=-\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^{N} \frac{d \widetilde{\mathbf{V}}^{N}}{d x}$ the refraction/reflection matrix. Finally, we construct several OW propagation models: for example, right-going OW equations are derived as follows

$$
\frac{d \boldsymbol{\psi}_{+}}{d x}=\widetilde{\mathbf{D}}_{++}^{N} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{+}+\delta \mathbf{W}_{++}^{N} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{+} \text {and } \boldsymbol{\psi}_{-}=\mathbf{0}
$$

with $\delta=0$ for the standard OW and $\delta=1$ for the TAOW. In the case of Bremmer series, we need to solve right and left OW equations iteratively until convergence.

## 4 Numerical example



Figure 1: Convergence on the spectrum: $N=7$
We consider a duct of height $h$ and a mean flow verifying $(\bar{\nu}, \bar{p})$ constant and the velocity is:

$$
\bar{u}(y)=4 V_{\max } \frac{y}{h}\left(1-\frac{y}{h}\right) \text { and } \bar{v}=0
$$

where $V_{\max }$ is the maximum axial velocity.
In Fig. 1, $\alpha$ corresponds to the exact operator spectrum, $\lambda_{+}$and $\lambda_{-}$to the spectra of the operators $\widetilde{\mathbf{D}}_{++}^{N}$ and $\widetilde{\mathbf{D}}_{--}^{N}$, respectively. We see a good restitution of the spectrum from few $\beta_{ \pm}$coefficients. During the congress, we will present numerical results obtained by some OW methods based on this new factorization.
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