# 3D scalar transmission problem in presence of a conical tip of negative material. 
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#### Abstract

In this work, we study a diffusion like scalar problem between a positive and a negative material in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. The interface $\Sigma$ between the two media is assumed to be smooth everywhere except at $O$ where it has a conical tip. We prove that the problem is well-posed iff what we call propagating (or black hole) singularities do not exist. When there are such singularities, we explain how to recover well-posedness in an appropriate functional framework, which is consistent with the limiting absorption principle. Our results can be seen as an extension of the ones obtained in [1] for the case of 2D interfaces with corners.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ which contains an inclusion $\mathcal{M}$ of a negative material. We assume that $\mathcal{M}$ is $\mathscr{C}^{1}-$ smooth except at the origin $O$ where it coincides locally with a conical tip (see Figure 1): there exists $\rho>0$ such that $\mathcal{M} \cap \mathrm{B}_{\rho}=\mathcal{K} \cap \mathrm{B}_{\rho}$ where $\mathrm{B}_{\rho}:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}| | x \mid<\rho\right\}$ and $\mathcal{K}:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}|x /|x| \in \mathscr{A}\}\right.$, here $\mathscr{A}$ is a smooth $\left(\mathscr{C}^{2}\right)$ subdomain of $\mathbb{S}^{2}$. Let $\sigma$ be a piecewise constant function s.t. $\sigma=\sigma^{-} \in \mathbb{R}_{-}^{*}$ in $\mathcal{M}$ and $\sigma=\sigma^{+} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{*}$ in $\Omega \backslash \overline{\mathcal{M}}$. We consider the problem of finding $u \in \mathrm{H}_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ s.t.

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\operatorname{div}(\sigma \nabla u)=f \in\left(\mathrm{H}_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{*} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The study of (1) is related to the one of the operator $\mathrm{A}_{\sigma}: \mathrm{H}_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{H}_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{*}$ s.t. for all $u, v \in \mathrm{H}_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, we have

$$
\left\langle\mathrm{A}_{\sigma} u, v\right\rangle:=\int_{\Omega} \sigma \nabla u \cdot \nabla \bar{v} d x .
$$

Classically, when $\sigma$ is positive we know that $A_{\sigma}$ is an isomorphism. But, since $\sigma$ changes sign
and given that $\Sigma$ is singular at $O$ (following what has been done in [1]), the operator $\mathrm{A}_{\sigma}$ may be not of Fredholm type. In the sequel, we denote by $I_{\Sigma}$ the set of critical values of the contrast $\kappa_{\sigma}=\sigma^{-} / \sigma^{+}$for which $\mathrm{A}_{\sigma}$ is not of Fredholm type, which is called the critical interval [2].


Figure 1: An example of geometry.

## 2 Characterization of $I_{\Sigma}$

Denote by $\mathscr{L}_{\sigma}$ the Mellin symbol generated by the problem (1) near $O$. For all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \mathscr{L}_{\sigma}(\lambda)$ : $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathbb{S}^{2}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathbb{S}^{2}\right)^{*}$ is such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \forall u, v \in \mathrm{H}^{1}(\mathbb{S}), \quad\left\langle\mathscr{L}_{\sigma}(\lambda) u, v\right\rangle= \\
& \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2}} \sigma(\rho \omega)\left(\nabla_{S^{u}} \cdot \nabla_{S} \bar{v}-\lambda(\lambda+1) u \bar{v}\right) d \omega .
\end{aligned}
$$

Above, $\nabla_{S}$ stands for the surface gradient operator. By using localization techniques (on $\mathbb{S}^{2}$ ) and the T-coercivity approach, we show the
Lemma 1 Assume that $\kappa_{\sigma} \neq-1$. Then $\Lambda\left(\mathscr{L}_{\sigma}\right)$, the spectrum of $\mathscr{L}_{\sigma}$, is composed by isolated eigenvalues which can accumulate only at infinity.
Note that if $\left(\lambda, \psi_{\lambda}\right) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathbb{S}^{2}\right)$ is a eigenpair of $\mathscr{L}_{\sigma}$ then $\mathfrak{s}_{\lambda}(x):=r^{\lambda} \psi_{\lambda}(x /|x|)$ satisfies $\operatorname{div}\left(\sigma \nabla \mathfrak{s}_{\lambda}\right)=0$ in $\mathrm{B}_{\rho}$. The function $\mathfrak{s}_{\lambda}$ is called a singularity of (1) of exponent $\lambda$. If $\lambda \in \Lambda\left(\mathscr{L}_{\sigma}\right) \cap$ $\ell_{-1 / 2}$ with $\ell_{-1 / 2}:=\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \Re e(\lambda)=-1 / 2\}$, the singularities $\mathfrak{s}_{\lambda}$ are not locally in $\mathrm{H}^{1}$, they are called propagating (see Figure 2).

Theorem 2 Assume that $\kappa_{\sigma} \neq-1$. Then $\mathrm{A}_{\sigma}$ is of Fredholm type if and only if the problem (1) has no propagating singularity.

Lemma 3 For the circular conical tip of opening angle $2 \alpha$, we have $I_{\Sigma}=\left[-1,-a_{\alpha}\right]$ where $a_{\alpha}$ is known explicitly in terms of hypergeometric functions [2].


Figure 2: A propagating singularity.

## 3 New framework for critical contrasts

Contrary to the case of a smooth $\Sigma$ where $I_{\Sigma}=$ $\{-1\}$, in our configuration $I_{\Sigma}$ is an interval that contains the value -1 (this is due to surface plasmons that can propagate along the smooth part of $\Sigma$ ). In order to focus our attention on the effect of the conical singularity, we suppose that $\kappa_{\sigma} \in I_{\Sigma} \backslash\{-1\}$. Owing to Theorem 2, we deduce that $\Lambda_{-1 / 2}:=\Lambda\left(\mathscr{L}_{\sigma}\right) \cap \ell_{-1 / 2} \neq \emptyset$.
Now, for $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, we introduce the weighted Sobolev spaces $\mathrm{V}_{\beta}^{1}(\Omega)$ defined as the closure of $\mathscr{D}(\Omega \backslash\{O\})$ for the norm

$$
\|u\|_{\dot{\zeta}_{\beta}^{1}(\Omega)}:=\left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1}\left\|r^{|\alpha|-1+\beta} \partial_{x}^{\alpha} u\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} .
$$

Note that for all $\beta>0$ we have

$$
\stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{V}}_{-\beta}^{1}(\Omega) \subset \dot{\mathrm{V}}_{0}^{1}=\mathrm{H}_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \subset \dot{\mathrm{V}}_{\beta}^{1}(\Omega) .
$$

Finally, for $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, we define the operator $\mathrm{A}_{\sigma}^{\beta}$ : $\mathrm{V}_{\beta}^{1}(\Omega) \rightarrow\left(\dot{\mathrm{V}}_{-\beta}^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{*}$ s.t. for $u \in \dot{\mathrm{~V}}_{\beta}^{1}(\Omega)$ and $v \in \dot{\mathrm{~V}}_{-\beta}^{1}(\Omega)$, we have

$$
\left\langle\mathrm{A}_{\sigma}^{\beta} u, v\right\rangle:=\int_{\Omega} \sigma \nabla u \cdot \nabla \bar{v} .
$$

Lemma 4 There exists $\beta_{0}>0$ such that for $\beta \in\left(0 ; \beta_{0}\right)$, the operators $\mathrm{A}_{\sigma}^{ \pm \beta}$ are of Fredholm type. Furthermore, $\operatorname{ker}\left(\mathrm{A}_{\sigma}^{\gamma}\right)$ is independent of $\gamma \in\left[0 ; \beta_{0}\right)$.

Set $T_{\sigma}:=\operatorname{card}\left(\Lambda_{-1 / 2}\right)$, and denote by $\lambda_{1}, \ldots$, $\lambda_{T \sigma}$ the elements of $\Lambda_{-1 / 2}$. Note that contrary to the 2D case of interfaces with corners [1], $T_{\sigma}$ can be greater than 2 . For each $p=1, \ldots, T_{\sigma}$, we introduce $\left(\varphi_{j, k}^{p}\right)_{j=1, \ldots, l_{g}^{p}, k=0, \ldots, l_{g}^{j}-1}$ a conical system of Jordan chains corresponding to $\lambda_{p}\left(\iota_{g}^{p}\right.$ is the geometric multiplicity of $\lambda_{p}$ and $\iota_{g}^{j}$ the partial multiplicity of $\varphi_{j, 0}^{p}$ ). Then, we define the general propagating singularities

$$
\mathfrak{s}_{p, j, k}(x)=\psi(r) r^{\lambda_{p}} \sum_{s=0}^{k} \frac{\log (r)^{s}}{s!} \varphi_{j, k-s}^{p}\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right)
$$

where $\psi$ is a cutoff function equal to 1 near $r=0$. One shows that the dimension of $\mathcal{S}_{\sigma}:=$
$\operatorname{span}\left(\mathfrak{s}_{p, j, k}\right)$ is even $\left(\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{S}_{\sigma}\right)=2 N_{\sigma}\right)$. Observe that $\mathcal{S}_{\sigma} \subset \mathrm{L}^{2}(\Omega) \backslash \mathrm{H}^{1}(\Omega)$ and that $\operatorname{div}(\sigma \nabla u) \in$ $\mathrm{L}^{2}(\Omega)$ for all $u \in \mathcal{S}_{\sigma}$. With this in mind, we define the symplectic form $q: \mathcal{S}_{\sigma} \times \mathcal{S}_{\sigma} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ s.t. for all $u, v \in \mathcal{S}_{\sigma}$ we have

$$
q(u, v)=\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(\sigma \nabla \bar{v}) u-\operatorname{div}(\sigma \nabla u) \bar{v}
$$

Following [3], it has been proved in [2] that there exists $\left(\mathfrak{s}_{j}^{ \pm}\right), j=1, \ldots, N_{\sigma}$, a basis of $\mathcal{S}_{\sigma}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q\left(\mathfrak{s}_{j}^{ \pm}, \mathfrak{s}_{k}^{ \pm}\right)=i \delta_{j, k}, \quad q\left(\mathfrak{s}_{j}^{ \pm}, \mathfrak{s}_{k}^{\mp}\right)=0 . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The functions $\mathfrak{s}_{j}^{+}$(resp. $\mathfrak{s}_{j}^{-}$) are said to be outgoing (resp. incoming) with respect to the Mandelshtam radiation principle [3].
Let us mention that the choice of such a basis is not unique. Now for a fixed basis, set $\mathcal{S}_{\sigma}^{+}=$ $\operatorname{span}\left(\mathfrak{s}_{j}^{+}\right)$and introduce the space

$$
\stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{V}}_{\beta}^{\text {out }}(\Omega):=\stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{V}}_{-\beta}^{1}(\Omega) \oplus \mathcal{S}_{\sigma}^{+} .
$$

Then we define the operator

$$
\mathrm{A}_{\sigma}^{\text {out }}: \dot{\mathrm{V}}_{\beta}^{\text {out }}(\Omega) \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{V}_{\beta}^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{*}
$$

s.t. $\forall u=\tilde{u}+\mathfrak{s}_{u}^{+} \in \mathrm{V}_{\beta}^{\text {out }}(\Omega)$ (with $\tilde{u} \in \dot{\mathrm{~V}}_{-\beta}^{1}(\Omega)$ and $\left.\mathfrak{s}_{u}^{+} \in \mathcal{S}_{\sigma}\right)$ and $\forall v \in \dot{\mathrm{~V}}_{\beta}^{1}(\Omega)$ :

$$
\left\langle\mathrm{A}_{\sigma}^{\text {out }} u, v\right\rangle:=\int_{\Omega} \sigma \nabla \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla \bar{v}-\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}\left(\sigma \nabla \mathfrak{s}_{u}^{+}\right) \bar{v} .
$$

Theorem 5 Assume that $\kappa_{\sigma} \neq-1$. Then for all $\beta \in\left(0 ; \beta_{0}\right)$, $\mathrm{A}_{\sigma}^{\text {out }}$ is a Fredholm operator of index zero. Moreover, $\operatorname{ker}\left(\mathrm{A}_{\sigma}^{\text {out }}\right)=\operatorname{ker}\left(\mathrm{A}_{\sigma}^{-\gamma}\right)$, for all $\gamma \in\left[0 ; \beta_{0}\right)$.
Under some particular assumptions [2] (that are valid for the case of circular conical tips), one can construct a basis ( $\mathfrak{s}_{j}^{ \pm}$) of $\mathcal{S}_{\sigma}$ satisfying (2) and such that the corresponding framework $\stackrel{V}{\gamma}_{\beta}^{\text {out }}$ (with $\beta \in\left(0 ; \beta_{0}\right)$ ) is consistent with the limiting absorption principle.
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